arXiv:1402.4151v2 [cond-mat.str-el] 2 Jul 2014

# Interacting Topological Superconductors and possible Origin of $16n$ Chiral Fermions in the Standard Model

Yi-Zhuang You,[1] Yoni BenTov,[1] and Cenke Xu[1]

[1]*Department of Physics, University of California, Santa Barbara, California 93106, USA*

(Dated: July 3, 2014)

Motivated by the observation that the Standard Model of particle physics (plus a right-handed neutrino) has precisely 16 Weyl fermions per generation, we search for $(3+1)$-dimensional chiral fermionic theories and chiral gauge theories that can be regularized on a 3 dimensional spatial lattice when and only when the number of flavors is an integral multiple of 16. All these results are based on the observation that local interactions reduce the classification of certain $(4+1)$-dimensional topological superconductors from $\mathbb{Z}$ to $\mathbb{Z}_8$, which means that one of their $(3+1)$-dimensional boundaries can be gapped out by interactions without breaking any symmetry when and only when the number of boundary chiral fermions is an integral multiple of 16.

PACS numbers:

In the Standard Model (SM) of particle physics [27], when the energy scale is higher than the vacuum expectation value (VEV) of the Higgs field, $v = 246$ GeV, effectively there are in total 16 massless left-handed chiral (Weyl) fermions in each generation:

$$H = \int d^3x \sum_{n=1}^{3} \sum_{a=1}^{16} \psi^\dagger_{a,n}\, i\vec{\sigma}\cdot\vec{\partial}\,\psi_{a,n}\ . \tag{1}$$

For example, in the first generation ($n = 1$) there are left chiral fermions $(u_\alpha, d_\alpha)_L$, $(u^\dagger_\alpha, d^\dagger_\alpha)_R$, $(e, \nu_e)_L$, $(e^\dagger, \nu^\dagger_e)_R$ [28], where $\alpha = 1, 2, 3$ is the color index. In the SO(10) Grand Unified Theory (GUT) [1–3], these fermions couple to the SO(10) gauge field as a 16 dimensional irreducible spinor representation of the SO(10) gauge group, which we will denote by $\psi \sim 16_+$. Since both the SM and the SO(10) GUT have no known gauge anomaly, it is expected that they can be regularized as a full quantum system on a three dimensional spatial lattice. However, it is well-known that if we want to write down a lattice model for the 16 left fermions in the SM with chiral gauge couplings, we will inevitably also obtain "mirror" fermions, $\psi' \sim 16_-$ (16 right fermions) in the low-energy effective field theory that arises from the same lattice model [4, 5].

In order to get around the fermi doubling theorem, one method is to realize the SM on the $3d$ boundary of a $4d$ topological insulator (TI) or topological superconductor (TSC) [6–8]. Then the 16 mirror right Weyl fermions will be localized on the other opposite boundary, which is spatially separated from the SM. Fermions at each boundary can naturally have a chiral coupling to the bulk gauge fields. However, this method requires subtle adjustment of the scale of the fourth dimension: if the fourth dimension is too large, the gauge boson in the bulk will be gapless and interfere with the low energy physics of the boundary; on the other hand if the fourth dimension is too small, then the SM suffers from interference with its mirror sector on the other boundary [9].

It would be ideal if we can gap out the mirror sector without affecting the left fermions in the SM. Then we can regularize the SM on the $3d$ boundary of a $4d$ TI or TSC with a very thin fourth dimension (which makes the bulk generically a $3d$ system). However, if the mirror sector is gapped out in the standard way, namely they are gapped out by condensing a boson field that couples to the Majorana mass (Cooper pair mass) of the right fermions, $\psi'^t_a i\sigma^y \psi'_b$, then the same boson field would couple to the left fermions and gap them out as well. Thus we seek a new mechanism to gap out the 16 right fermions with interactions, such that the mirror fermions are gapped while having zero bilinear expectation value, $\langle \psi'^t_a i\sigma^y \psi'_b\rangle = 0$, for arbitrary flavor indices $a, b = 1, ..., 16$. We will now drop the primes for notational convenience, and it should be understood that when we attempt to gap out the fermions $\psi_a$ we intend to gap out the mirror sector while leaving the ordinary fermions gapless.

At this point we would like to make clear that we do not yet have a lattice regularization for the full SM. Nevertheless, we do provide explicit examples of non-abelian chiral gauge theories where the above condition is satisfied if and only if they have $16n$ Weyl fermions, and we hope that this novel result strongly re-invigorates the search for a lattice regularization of the SM.

The new mechanism described in the previous paragraph becomes possible if the bulk $4d$ state satisfies the following criteria:

*1.* The interacting bulk system should *not* have the global charge U(1) symmetry $\psi_a \to e^{i\theta}\psi_a$, since this symmetry is anomalous once the boundary chiral fermions are coupled to the gauge fields in the SM. Thus according to the anomaly matching condition [10, 11], if the boundary chiral fermions can be gapped by interaction, this system necessarily breaks this U(1) symmetry. This implies that the bulk system is a topological superconductor instead of topological insulator.

*2.* Each $3d$ boundary of the $4d$ TSC has $k$ chiral Weyl fermions ($k$ is a divisor of 16), and the $4d$ TSC has a




FIG. 1: Our proposal of regularizing SM (or other anomaly free chiral gauge theories with $16n$ chiral fermions) on the lattice. The chiral gauge theory will be realized on the $3d$ boundary of a $4d$ topological superconductor with a thin fourth dimension (which makes the bulk a $3d$ system), and the mirror sector is realized on the opposite boundary. Without interaction, the symmetry forbids any fermion bilinear mass at the boundary; but interaction can gap out the mirror sector without generating a fermion bilinear mass term. Thus the only low energy degree of freedom is the chiral gauge theory on the top boundary. However, this is only possible with $16n$ chiral fermions on each boundary.

$\mathbb{Z}$ classification without interaction, namely for arbitrary flavor numbers, the symmetries that define the bulk TSC forbid any fermion bilinear mass $m_{ab}\,\psi_a^t i\sigma^y\psi_b + H.c.$ at the $3d$ boundary (here $m_{ab}$ is a symmetric matrix). In other words at the *noninteracting* level the $3d$ boundary cannot be gapped without breaking symmetry, for arbitrary flavor number.

*3.* Under interaction, the classification of the $4d$ TSC is reduced to $\mathbb{Z}_{16/k}$, namely when the boundary has 16 flavors of $(3+1)d$ chiral fermions, local interactions can gap out the boundary without spontaneously breaking any symmetry. In other words, the boundary chiral fermions can be gapped by interaction without spontaneously generating a fermion bilinear mass term, *i.e.* $\langle\psi_a^t i\sigma^y\psi_b\rangle = 0$.

Notice that there must be a minimum nonzero critical interaction strength for this gapping mechanism to work, because a weak short-range four fermion interaction is irrelevant for $(3+1)d$ Dirac or chiral fermions. Thus we assume that the interaction on one boundary is stronger than the other, thus the interaction only gaps out one of the boundaries.

Such interaction reduced classification of TSC has been studied in theoretical condensed matter physics in recent years. The pioneering work was done by Fidkowski and Kitaev. They demonstrated explicitly that a $1d$ TSC with time-reversal symmetry, which in the noninteracting limit has a $\mathbb{Z}$ classification [12–14], has only a $\mathbb{Z}_8$ classification in the presence of local interactions [15, 16]. Each copy of this $1d$ TSC will lead to one Majorana zero mode $\gamma_a$ at its $0d$ boundary. These Majorana zero modes transform trivially under time-reversal ($Z_2^T$):

$$Z_2^T : \gamma_a \to \gamma_a. \tag{2}$$

Since time-reversal is antiunitary, this symmetry guarantees that no fermion bilinear term is allowed at the boundary, *i.e.* all terms of the form $i\gamma_a\gamma_b$ are odd under $Z_2^T$. Thus without interaction, the boundary of this $1d$ TSC is always degenerate, although the bulk is gapped and nondegenerate. However, Fidkowski and Kitaev demonstrated that a time-reversal invariant four-fermion interaction can gap out the $0d$ boundary without spontaneously breaking the $Z_2^T$ (the $0d$ boundary is gapped with $\langle i\gamma_a\gamma_b\rangle = 0$), when and only when the system has $8n$ copies of such a $1d$ TSC. This implies that the $1d$ time-reversal symmetry protected TSC has only $\mathbb{Z}_8$ classification under interaction.

The work in Ref. [15, 16] was soon generalized to $2d$ TSC with a $1d$ boundary [17–20]. For example, Ref. [17] studied a $2d$ TSC with both $Z_2$ and time-reversal symmetry, whose gapped bulk is simply a $p \pm ip$ TSC with $p_x + ip_y$ pairing for spin-up fermion $c_\uparrow$, and $p_x - ip_y$ pairing for spin-down fermion $c_\downarrow$. The $1d$ boundary of this TSC has a $1d$ nonchiral Majorana fermion with Hamiltonian:

$$H = \int dx\ (\chi_L i\partial_x\chi_L - \chi_R i\partial_x\chi_R). \tag{3}$$

$\chi_L$ and $\chi_R$ are Bogoliubov quasiparticles of $c_\uparrow$ and $c_\downarrow$ respectively. On the $1d$ boundary the $Z_2$ and $Z_2^T$ transformations act as the following:

$$\begin{aligned} &Z_2 : \chi_L \to \chi_L, \quad \chi_R \to -\chi_R, \\ &Z_2^T : \chi_L \to \chi_R, \quad \chi_R \to \chi_L. \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

With these symmetries, it is straightforward to verify that for arbitrary numbers of the boundary Eq. 3, any fermion bilinear mass term is forbidden. For example, $\bar\chi\chi = 2i\chi_L\chi_R$ is forbidden by the $Z_2$ symmetry. Ref. [17] showed that although all the fermion bilinear mass terms are forbidden by symmetry at the boundary, when there are $8n$ copies of this $p\pm ip$ TSC, a particular four fermion interaction term which preserves both $Z_2$ and $Z_2^T$ will still gap out the boundary without degeneracy, namely the $1d$ boundary is gapped with $\langle\bar\chi_a\chi_b\rangle = 0$ for arbitrary flavor index $a, b$.

Ref. [21, 22] studied the classification of $3d$ TI/TSC under interaction. For example, Ref. [22] studied a $3d$ TI with U(1) and time-reversal symmetry whose $2d$ boundary is described by the Hamiltonian

$$H = \int d^2x\ \psi^\dagger(i\sigma^x\partial_x + i\sigma^z\partial_y)\psi. \tag{5}$$

The U(1) and time-reversal symmetry act as:

$$U(1) : \psi \to e^{i\theta}\psi, \quad Z_2^T : \psi \to \sigma^y\psi^\dagger, \tag{6}$$

which forbid all the fermion bilinear mass terms at the $2d$ boundary for arbitrary copies of the system, namely the classification at the noninteracting level is $\mathbb{Z}$. Nevertheless, Ref. [22] argued that a U(1) and $Z_2^T$ invariant

short range interaction reduces the classification of this $3d$ TI to $\mathbb{Z}_8$, namely when there are $8n$ copies of Eq. 5 at the $2d$ boundary, interaction can gap out the boundary without spontaneously generating any fermion bilinear mass term. Ref. [22] argued that many $3d$ TIs and TSCs with different symmetries have similar interaction-reduced classifications, and it is almost universally true that when the $2d$ boundary has 16 $2d$ Majorana fermions, the boundary can be trivially gapped out by interaction.

In this work we will generalize the works summarized above to four spatial dimensions. Before making connection to the SM, we will first study a simple example of $4d$ TSC whose classification is reduced by interaction. In particular we will study a $4d$ TSC whose boundary contains two flavors of $(3+1)d$ chiral fermions:

$$H = \int d^3x \sum_{a=1}^{2} \psi_a^\dagger (i\vec{\sigma}\cdot\vec{\partial})\psi_a. \tag{7}$$

We define the following U(1), $Z_2$ and time-reversal symmetry on $\psi_a$:

$$\begin{aligned} U(1) &: \psi_a \to [e^{i\tau^y\theta}]_{ab}\psi_b, \\ Z_2 &: \psi_a \to (\tau^y)_{ab}\psi_b, \\ Z_2^T &: \psi_a \to K\sigma^y(\tau^y)_{ab}\psi_b, \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

where $K$ is a complex conjugation. For the bulk state, we can use the same bulk band structure introduced for the $4d$ quantum Hall state in Ref. [23, 24]:

$$\begin{aligned} H &= \sum_{a=1}^{2}\sum_{k} \psi_{k,a}^\dagger \left(\sum_{i=1}^{4}\Gamma_i \sin(k_i)\right)\psi_{k,a} \\ &+ m\ \psi_{k,a}^\dagger \Gamma_5 \left(\sum_{i=1}^{4}\cos(k_i) - 3\right)\psi_{k,a}, \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

Where $\Gamma_{1,2,3} = \sigma^{1,2,3}\otimes\rho^3$, $\Gamma_4 = \mathbf{1}_{2\times2}\otimes\rho^2$, $\Gamma_5 = \mathbf{1}_{2\times2}\otimes\rho^1$, where $\rho^a$ are another set of Pauli matrices. The $3d$ boundary of this theory has precisely two flavors of chiral fermions Eq. 7.

As long as we preserve the $U(1)\times Z_2\times Z_2^T$ symmetry, the $3d$ boundary can never be gapped without interaction for arbitrary copies of this system, because the only fermion bilinear mass terms that can gap out the boundary are the Cooper pair operators: $\psi_a^t i\sigma^y\psi_b + H.c.$ which inevitably break at least one of the symmetries. Thus this $4d$ TSC has a $\mathbb{Z}$ classification with the $U(1)\times Z_2\times Z_2^T$ symmetry, at the noninteracting level.

In the following we will argue that short range interactions can reduce the classification of this $4d$ TSC to $\mathbb{Z}_8$: local four-fermion interactions can gap out 8 copies of Eq. 7 (i.e. 16 chiral fermions at the $3d$ boundary) without generating a nonzero expectation value for any fermion bilinear mass operator. Since a weak short range interaction is irrelevant, the interaction has to have a magnitude larger than some nonzero critical value in order to successfully gap out the fermions.




FIG. 2: Illustration of topological defects: (a) monopole, (b) vortex line, (c) domain wall. Without interaction, all these defects are nontrivial, i.e. they have degenerate/gapless spectra. However, interactions make all these defects gapped and nondegerate, thus after proliferating these defects, the $3d$ boundary enters a symmetric, fully gapped and nondegenerate phase.

Directly studying strong four-fermion interactions is difficult, so we will follow the same logic as in Ref. [22]: we will first manually break a subgroup of the $U(1)\times Z_2\times Z_2^T$ symmetry by condensing an order parameter that transforms nontrivially under these symmetries. Then we will proliferate the defects of the condensate to restore the broken symmetry. After proliferating the defects, the order parameter becomes disordered and can be safely integrated out. This generates an effective four-fermion interaction at low energy.

The nature of the phase after proliferating the defects depends on the quantum numbers and spectrum of the defects. *The desired fully symmetric, gapped and non-degenerate state is only possible when the defects in the condensate have a trivial spectrum.* We will analyze three different types of order parameters and defects, and all these defects suggest that a symmetric, fully gapped, and nondegenerate boundary state is only possible when there are 16 chiral fermions at the $3d$ boundary.

Let us first spontaneously break the U(1) symmetry by condensing an O(2) "superfluid" order parameter at the $3d$ boundary:

$$\vec{\phi} = (\mathrm{Re}[\psi^t\sigma^y\tau^x\psi],\quad \mathrm{Re}[\psi^t\sigma^y\tau^z\psi]). \tag{10}$$

This superfluid order parameter gaps out the chiral fermions and breaks the U(1) symmetry, but preserves the $Z_2$ and $Z_2^T$ symmetries in Eq. 8. The broken U(1) symmetry can be restored by proliferating the vortex lines of the O(2) order parameter in Eq. 10 (Fig. 2*b*). Proliferation of the vortex line can be systematically described in the dual formalism. However, we have to be careful with the core of the vortex line, since it is the singularity of the O(2) order parameter, and the fermions may become gapless along the vortex line. In our current case, the vortex line of this O(2) order parameter traps $1d$ nonchiral Majorana fermion modes that are localized at the vortex line. Upon solving the Dirac equation in

the vortex background, we find that these modes are described by the Hamiltonian of Eq. 3, and their transformation properties under the residual $Z_2$ and $Z_2^T$ symmetries are precisely those given in Eq. 4. Thus as we already argued, without interaction, this $1d$ system cannot be gapped without degeneracy, for arbitrary copies of this system.

However, based on the results in Ref. [17–20], we know that for $8n$ copies of this system, a $Z_2$ and $Z_2^T$ invariant short range interaction at the vortex line can gap out these $1d$ Majorana modes without degeneracy, *i.e.* without spontaneously breaking the $Z_2$ and $Z_2^T$ symmetry. *Thus when and only when the* $3d$ *boundary has 16 chiral fermions can we gap out the boundary without generating a fermion bilinear mass term.*

We can also analyze other different types of defects of the system. For example, we can temporarily break the $Z_2$ symmetry in Eq. 8 by condensing the Ising order parameter

$$\phi = \mathrm{Im}[\psi^t \sigma^y \psi], \tag{11}$$

which only breaks the $Z_2$ symmetry but preserves the U(1) and $Z_2^T$. The domain wall of the $Z_2$ order is a $2d$ manifold (Fig. $2c$), and presumably proliferating the domain wall can restore the $Z_2$ symmetry. However, just like the previous paragraph, the $Z_2$ domain wall may carry gapless fermion modes. By solving the Dirac equation at the domain wall directly, we can see that there are two flavors of gapless $2d$ Majorana fermions described by Eq. 5 that are localized at the domain wall. These $2d$ Majorana fermions have the residual $U(1) \times Z_2^T$ symmetry, which act on the domain wall states precisely in the same way as Eq. 6. And as long as the $U(1) \times Z_2^T$ symmetry is preserved, all the fermion bilinear mass terms at the domain wall are forbidden, for arbitrary copies of the systems. Thus without interaction at the domain wall, proliferation of the $2d$ domain wall will not lead to a trivially gapped phase. However, it was demonstrated in Ref. [22] that 8 copies of Eq. 5 (i.e. 8 copies of our current system) with $U(1) \times Z_2^T$ symmetry can be gapped out without degeneracy by local interactions. Thus once again a $3d$ system with 16 chiral fermions becomes special: *when there are 16 chiral fermions at the* $3d$ *boundary, we can obtain a fully gapped nondegenerate state by proliferating the* $Z_2$ *domain walls.*

The third type of scenario we consider is an ordered phase at the $3d$ boundary that breaks both U(1) and $Z_2$ symmetry, while keeping the $Z_2^T$ symmetry unbroken. In this phase both the O(2) vector and $Z_2$ order parameters in the previous two scenarios condense. The defect that can restore all the symmetries after its proliferation is a "hedgehog" like monopole (Fig. $2a$), which is a vortex line penetrating the $Z_2$ domain wall. Based on the results in Ref. [25], there is a Majorana fermion zero mode at the core of the hedgehog monopole, which transforms trivially under time-reversal symmetry, $Z_2^T : \gamma \to \gamma$. Based on the results in Ref. [15, 16], we know that with 8 copies of the system Eq. 7, a local interaction that preserves the $Z_2^T$ interaction can gap out all the 8 Majorana zero modes at the monopole without degeneracy. Then condensing the monopoles can restore all the symmetries and lead to a fully gapped and nondegenerate state at the $3d$ boundary.

In the analysis above we argued that 8 flavors of the $4d$ TSC with 16 chiral fermions at its $3d$ boundary become trivial under interaction. In fact this interaction can have a much larger symmetry than the assumed $U(1) \times Z_2 \times Z_2^T$. For example, the U(1) and $Z_2$ order parameters considered previously (Eq. 10, 11) can be combined together to form an SU(2) vector,

$$\vec{\phi} = \mathrm{Re}\left[\psi^t (\sigma^y \otimes i\tau^y \vec{\tau})\psi\right] . \tag{12}$$

The four-fermion interaction that guarantees the triviality of the hedgehog monopole of this $SU(2)$ vector can have a global symmetry at least as large as $SO(7)$, under which the 8 flavors of $4d$ TSC transform as an 8-dimensional real spinor representation. This $SO(7)$ symmetry contains the obvious subgroup $SO(6)$, which is locally isomorphic to $SU(4)$, and this in turn contains the obvious subgroup $SU(3)$. Under a hypothetical breaking of $SO(7) \to SU(4)$, the spinor representation would decompose as $8 \to 4 \oplus \bar{4}$.

In the analysis above we have ignored the gauge fields. We assume that the four fermion interactions play the main role in gapping out the fermions. We can "gauge" the global symmetries of the $4d$ TSC by coupling the fermions to the gauge fields. For example, we can couple 8 flavors of the $4d$ TSC discussed in this paper to $SO(7) \times SU(2)$ gauge fields, and one of the $3d$ boundaries is gapped out by short range interactions. Since the boundary fermions are gapped out without generating any fermion bilinear mass or breaking any symmetry, the gauge fields will *not* be massive due to Higgs mechanism. As long as the scale of the fourth dimension is small enough, the only low energy degrees of freedom left are 16 chiral fermions on the other boundary coupled to $SO(7) \times SU(2)$ gauge fields. This theory has no gauge anomaly, but as far as we know, there is no other simple way of realizing this chiral gauge theory on a $3d$ lattice. For example, in a system called "Weyl semimetal" [26], we can realize 8 left and 8 right chiral fermions at different momenta in the $3d$ Brillouin zone. After a particle-hole transformation, right Weyl fermions will become left fermions, but there would be no $SO(7) \times SU(2)$ continuous symmetry that mixes the chiral fermions at different momenta. Thus the 16 chiral fermions realized in this conventional way cannot be coupled to $SO(7) \times SU(2)$ gauge fields on the lattice. The new mechanism studied in this paper provides a regularization of this chiral gauge theory.

Our results suggest a possible direction of realizing the SM on a $3d$ lattice. If we want to realize the SM in the

same way, *i.e.* realize the SM on one boundary of a $4d$ TSC and gap out its mirror sector by local interactions, then we need to argue that the classification of the $4d$ TSC with $SU(3) \times SU(2) \times U(1)$ symmetry is reduced by short range interactions. We do not have a full argument for this conclusion yet. However, this conclusion is consistent with the fact that the SM with a right-handed neutrino has 16 chiral fermions in each generation. Recently, Ref. [24] has also proposed that the mirror sector of the SM can be gapped out by interactions, but the importance of the flavor number 16 was not pointed out. Based on the observation in this paper, we conclude that the mirror sector can be gapped out by interaction without interfering with the SM only when there are 16 chiral fermions per generation.

The authors are supported by the the David and Lucile Packard Foundation, Sloan Foundation, and NSF Grant No. DMR-1151208. The authors thank A. Zee for very helpful discussions.

[1] H. Fritzsch and P. Minkowski, Annals of Physics **93**, 193 (1975).
[2] H. Georgi, "Particles and Fields" - Proceedings of the Meeting of the Division of Particles and Fields (APS), Williamsburg, edited by C. E. Carlson (AIP, New York) (1975).
[3] H. Georgi and S. L. Glashow, Phys. Rev. Lett. **32**, 438 (1974).
[4] H. B. Nielsen and M. Ninomiya, Nucl. Phys. B **185**, 20 (1981).
[5] H. B. Nielsen and M. Ninomiya, Nucl. Phys. B **193**, 173 (1981).
[6] V. A. Rubakov and M. E. Shaposhnikov, Phys. Lett. **125B** (1983).
[7] D. B. Kaplan, Phys. Lett. B **288**, 342 (1992).
[8] D. B. Kaplan and S. Sun, Phys. Rev. Lett. **108**, 181807 (2012).
[9] E. Poppitz and Y. Shang, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **25**, 2761 (2010).
[10] G. 't Hooft, *Recent Developments in Gauge Theories,* (Plenum Press, New York; reprinted in "Unity of Forces in the Universe", edited by A. Zee (World Scientific, Singapore, 1982), Vol. II, p. 1004., 1980).
[11] A. Zee, Physics Letters B **95**, 290 (1980).
[12] A. P. Schnyder, S. Ryu, A. Furusaki, and A. W. W. Ludwig, AIP Conf. Proc. **1134**, 10 (2009).
[13] S. Ryu, A. Schnyder, A. Furusaki, and A. Ludwig, New J. Phys. **12**, 065010 (2010).
[14] K. A. Yu, AIP Conf. Proc **1134**, 22 (2009).
[15] L. Fidkowski and A. Kitaev, Phys. Rev. B **81**, 134509 (2010).
[16] L. Fidkowski and A. Kitaev, Phys. Rev. B **83**, 075103 (2011).
[17] X.-L. Qi, New J. Phys. **15**, 065002 (2013).
[18] H. Yao and S. Ryu, Phys. Rev. B **88**, 064507 (2013).
[19] S. Ryu and S.-C. Zhang, Phys. Rev. B **85**, 245132 (2012).
[20] Z.-C. Gu and M. Levin, arXiv:1304.4569 (2013).
[21] L. Fidkowski, X. Chen, and A. Vishwanath, Phys. Rev. X **3**, 041016 (2013).
[22] C. Wang and T. Senthil, arXiv:1401.1142 (2014).
[23] X.-L. Qi, T. L. Hughes, and S.-C. Zhang, Phys. Rev. B **78**, 195424 (2008).
[24] X.-G. Wen, Chin. Phys. Lett. **30**, 111101 (2013).
[25] R. Jackiw and C. Rebbi, Phys. Rev. D **13**, 3398 (1976).
[26] X. Wan, A. M. Turner, A. Vishwanath, and S. Y. Savrasov, Phys. Rev. B **83**, 205101 (2011).
[27] We assume the right-handed neutrino exists.
[28] Under complex conjugation (particle-hole transformation) the right chiral fermions become left fermions.